

of the flood tide, under their lee, and sail westward, when ships in the Downs cannot purchase their anchors.

10th. That large craft might be constantly kept afloat in Ramsgate Harbour, at low water, such as might be able to carry out pilots, anchors, cables, and other assistance to men of war and large ships in distress in the Downs;\* and the matter is so circumstanced that whenever they could not go from Ramsgate, boats may go out from Dover to ships in the Downs.

Upon this evidence, which I have carefully extracted from the Committee's report, I only beg leave to observe; that the tides, the sands, and the coasts remaining the same, as also the natural powers, what was true in the year 1749 will remain true in the year 1791.

During the whole of this investigation the great project of a harbour at Sandown Castle seems to have been altogether lost sight of; and perhaps at this we may the less marvel; if casting our eye upon the position thereof; vessels breaking loose from their anchors there, with wind at any point from S. S. E. to S. S. W. would be driven to the leeward of the harbour's mouth before they could get under way, so as to be under dominion of their helm; and therefore, after all, liable to be wrecked or run ashore upon the south coast of the isle of Thanet †.

Nor indeed, according to my judgement, could a more injudicious construction than the piers proposed at Sandown, be well imagined; for the heavy seas that would fall in between the heads (that is, all those producing distress in the Downs) would be so augmented by the gradual contraction of the distance of the piers, from 300 feet at their entry, to 100 feet near the basin; that vessels would not only be liable to be wrecked between the piers, but those augmented seas would infallibly destroy the gates of the basin; and

\* Such crafts and pilots now actually station themselves at Ramsgate, and are the means of saving many lives and much property.

† While the investigation for a harbour at Sandown Castle was going on before Parliament in 1744, reasons were offered against making a harbour near Sandwich; to which at that time no regard seems to have been paid, viz. Sandwich having no convenient outlet for ships bound to the westward, they must remain wind-bound with many fair winds. 2dly. The inlet is inconvenient to receive ships bound to the westward, which are detained in the Downs by contrary winds and stormy weather; for if any ship's anchor start, or cables give way, they must drive past the harbour, before the ship can be brought into a position to put for the entrance.

probably

probably the fixed part of the stone work also.—It hence appears most evident, that nature has not in reality furnished any situation for a harbour for the Downs preferable to that at Ramsgate; and therefore we need not wonder, that the project of the long-wished for harbour for the Downs was at that time promoted to be at Ramsgate, with a degree of eagerness and even of enthusiasm.

The Act passed that session, setting forth in its preamble, "Whereas frequent losses of the lives and properties of his Majesty's subjects happen in the Downs for want of a harbour between the North and South Forelands; the greatest part of the ships employed in the trade of the nation being under a necessity at going out upon as well as returning from their voyages, to pass through the Downs; and frequently by contrary winds being detained there a long time; during which they (especially the outward-bound ships) are exposed to violent storms and dangerous gales of wind, without having a sufficient harbour to lie in or retreat into, or from whence they can receive any assistance: And whereas a harbour may be made at the town of Ramsgate proper and convenient for the reception of ships of and under 300 tons burthen; and from thence larger ships in distress in the Downs may be supplied with pilots, anchors, cables, and other assistance and necessaries; and by the smaller ships taking shelter in this harbour the larger ships may take the anchorage which at present is occupied by the smaller, and by that means their anchors will be fixed in more holding ground, and the ships not so exposed to the ocean; for carrying therefore a work of such public utility into execution, &c. be it enacted," &c.

The first meeting of the trustees was appointed at the Guildhall London the first Tuesday in July 1749, (that was then next following), at which a large number named in the Act, to the amount of sixty-six, appeared to qualify.

## SECTION II.

PROCEEDINGS of the Trustees from the Commencement of the Work in 1749, to the total Stoppage of the same in 1755; upon Petition to the House of Commons.

THE first act of the trustees regarding construction was to appoint a Committee of their body to view the place at Ramsgate, where a new harbour was intended to be made; and report their observations and opinions to the trustees at their next meeting;

VOL. III.

M

and



and that Mr. Robins and Mr. Turner of Gosport should be desired to attend them as engineers.\*

The Committee accordingly met at Ramsgate, and on their return, reported, that in consequence of objections having been made before the Committees of the House of Commons to Ramsgate, for want of a back-water, they having examined found from information, that in the year 1715 the pier then standing had been lengthened; and by inspection it appeared, that a bar of about forty yards breadth and length had been cast up, its highest part in thickness two feet and a half; and they considering this small quantity as the gradual effect of 34 years, thought it reasonable to expect, that when a greater depth of water was made by two piers instead of one, the filling up by fullage or beach would become so inconsiderable, that a small expense would continually prevent its increase.

They further observed, that the seaweed or fullage that drove in, came from the westward; and that from the east there was a drift of large shingle; which if it should take place, would be of advantage in backing the new piers. They also made and reported many other observations confirming what was given in evidence before the House of Commons in its favour.

They endeavoured to fix their opinions as to one material point, which could not be so conveniently settled in evidence before the House; and about which there was some diversity of opinion; and that was the position of the harbour's mouth, whether South, S. S. W. or S. W. were to be preferred. Towards the resolution of which question the committee premised, "that the stream of the tide in the Downs sets for six hours to the northward, or at least between the north and the east, and then for the next six hours the stream turns and sets to the southward, or between the south and the west; but the time of high and low water does not correspond to the beginning and end of these streams; for it is high water about two hours after the stream has begun to run to the northward; and it is low water two hours after the stream has set to the southward, so that when the tide first sets to the northward, more than two thirds of the tide has flowed; and high water happens about two hours after:" and that having separately examined eleven captains or masters of ships of Ramsgate, they all unanimously agreed, that the most dangerous winds in the

\* It may at this day seem extraordinary, why Mr. Labelye, who appears to have made the original plan, upon which himself and associates proceeded as mentioned above, should not have been called upon and consulted in this latter stage of the business; but if it be remembered that in the year 1748, one of the piers of the new bridge of Westminster, built under his direction, most unfortunately settled, so as to oblige two of the arches to be taken down, after the bridge had been opened to the public, we may be the less surprised.

Downs

Downs were from the S. S. E. to S. S. W.; and that the time when ships run the greatest risk of being forced from their anchors, is when the northward stream sets in; and that about the same time, that is the beginning of the northern stream, was likewise the most prudent for such ships as should intend to make for Ramsgate harbour, to slip their cables; for, that in either case, they would have both wind and tide in their favour, in standing for Ramsgate; and that on their arrival, they would find it near high water, allowing an hour for their passage: and they unanimously agreed, that an entrance to S. S. W. was to be preferred: for if placed full south, the tide near high water would run so strong across it, as to render it difficult to get in; and if at south-west, they feared there might be too great an indraught of fullage. All the bearings then referred to were settled by the compass, which in the Downs was then  $1\frac{1}{2}$  point west.

They also particularly attended to the point, whether the piers should be built with wood or stone; but agreed unanimously stone was greatly to be preferred, had it not been for the great difference of expense, especially as they had found (and brought up specimens) that the worm bit considerably in the pier then standing. On the whole they were satisfied, a harbour at Ramsgate would be as practicable, useful, and important, as they had before thought; and concluded with observing, that no other motive than that of avoiding expense should have any weight in assigning to this harbour a form less perfect or extensive than it was by nature capable of receiving. It does not, however, appear that the Committee, on this occasion, were attended by Mr. Robins or Mr. Turner.

The next step of the trustees was to advertise to invite engineers to deliver plans sealed up, to their secretary Mr. Elliot, for piers of stone or of wood, with the necessary specifications, and explanations, against the 29th September following.

At this time, several plans and models were offered, and the 19th October appointed for taking the plans into consideration: and also the secretary was ordered to invite engineers, or gentlemen acquainted with engineering, to assist the trustees upon that occasion. Accordingly, upon the 19th several persons attended under that description, and were desired to appoint a meeting among themselves to consider the several plans, and give their opinion to the trustees; and the secretary was ordered to invite the gentlemen who should attend to dinner, at the expense of the trust.

On the 26th of October the engineers delivered their report, which was subscribed by Benjamin Robins, Thomas Innes, J. Leake, John Muller, and John Turner. The most material things that occurred therein were:

M 2

That



That it was improper to lay the foundation of the piers below low water mark, with loose stones thrown in at random.

That if the piers were not carried out into a greater depth of water than  $6\frac{1}{2}$  feet, at low water of spring tides, it did not appear necessary to be at the expence of carrying on the foundation by caissons.

That the properest method of laying the foundation of the intended piers in the parts covered at low water, was by making a basement of stone somewhat higher than low water mark, after the manner proposed by Mr. Turner.

At a subsequent meeting, Mr. Desmaretz, Mr. Prat, and Mr. Mill, gave their opinions relative to the manner and materials necessary for the building the piers of the intended harbour.

The trustees then ordered notice of a meeting upon the 15th December, for taking into their consideration all the plans and proposals that had been or should be laid before them, and to come to a determination thereon: and that all persons having any thing further to offer, should be desired to deliver in their proposals before that time; recommending a full meeting of the trustees on this extraordinary occasion.

At this meeting several plans and sections were examined, amongst which was a plan from Captain Robert Brooke of Margate, and a section from Mr. Desmaretz chief engineer at Portsmouth; and at a subsequent meeting, three more plans and sections were delivered, amongst which was a plan and section by William Ockenden Esquire, one of the trustees: and at a meeting after that, ten different plans, laid before the board in consequence of the original advertisement, were examined; and an abstract ordered to be made by the secretary, and laid before the next meeting; at which, on the 12th January 1749-50, it was resolved, that the harbour should be proceeded with according to the plan signed by the chairman, subject to the further alterations of the board. That the east pier should be proceeded upon with stone; and the west pier with wood.

At a subsequent meeting it was resolved, that the plan and section proposed and delivered by William Ockenden Esquire, for erecting a stone pier, should be the method of building the east pier; and that the section and model which was proposed and delivered for a wooden pier by Captain Robert Brooke, should be the method of building the west pier to low water mark neap tides: and that Mr. Ockenden and Captain Brooke should be applied to, for their description of the proper materials for the piers respectively proposed by them.

The

The 2d February 1749-50, it was resolved, that the east pier should be carried on by workmen appointed by the trust, and materials purchased for 100 feet of pier; and Thomas Preston was appointed mason or foreman of the stone work; who by the Board's order, set out for Maidstone, Folkstone, Dover, and Ramsgate; and was to follow the instructions given him; and Captains Conway, Stevens, and Bennet, were desired to assist Captain Brooke in purchasing materials and carrying on the west head.

Mr. Preston's first report of the 23d following, chiefly contained an account of the stone materials afforded, and used at the places specified; whereupon he was ordered to go to Purbeck, to make the proper enquiries concerning the stone there: Mr. Ockenden, Sir Peter Thompson, Mr. Fry, Captain Barker, Captain Hughes, Mr. Hyde, Mr. Norris, and Mr. Pole, were appointed a committee for carrying on the east head, and were desired to confer with the committee for the west head, as often as they should find necessary. Mr. John Scott was appointed foreman for carrying on the west pier.

Things being thus settled, nothing happened but what might be expected to be the result of the dispositions mentioned; and it is worthy of notice, that a quantity of Barrow limestone was got, as recommended by Mr. Preston.

In 1751, a committee being appointed by the board to make a survey of the state of the works, made their report the 25th July. The most material things to be observed were as follows:—

That the stone pier extended 390 feet, of which 104 feet were completely ready for the parapet, and the rest above the reach of a spring tide; and that while the committee was there, the foundation was run on 83 feet further, in the whole 473 feet. They examined the work, and found it free from any kind of failure whatever.

The west head was carried out about 460 feet from the cliff; and 540 feet thereof were proposed to be completed that year.

The committee were attended by Mr. Turner of Gosport and Mr. Vincent of Scarborough, and recommended the use of ashler instead of backing stone, since a cube foot of shell lime\* cost more than a cube foot of ashler.

A locker or grind was then recommended, the committee being of opinion it would collect the sand and shingle in the outward angle of the east pier with the land.

\* At this time shell lime was used for the backing of Ramsgate harbour.

By



By the separate report of the engineers, of the same date with that of the committee, it appears they were of opinion, that the part of the stone pier then done, was but barely sufficient to resist the force of the sea; therefore recommended the future parts to be made with 40 feet base, and 30 feet at top; and an additional course of ashler, to the height of 18 feet above the foundation, both inside and outside; and above that, one course only; the core to be lessened. The chalk to be well beaten, and mixed with gravel; and not mixed with mortar as then practised. All the ashler to be set with terras mortar, and the backing mortar made with brick-dust or sea-coal ashes.

In surveying, they found the bottom regular, and in the circumference of the harbour, the depth of low water not exceeding five feet six inches; and that the foundation might be carried out without caissons, so as to answer the design, in a manner by them described; which was that of laying large Portland blocks in the ground courses, so as to reach above low water.

The following Board agreed to the use of ashler for backing, for the reasons given by the committee in their report. A locker or grind to be made as proposed. Captain William Read was appointed haven-master, with instructions to hoist a flag when there was ten feet water at the old pier head.

6th December 1751, a general meeting was appointed to consider of the method of founding the piers beyond low water mark, when Mr. William Etheridge produced a model of a caisson; and a model of a tool, and method of working it, for making a trench, and levelling the ground under water for setting the caisson upon. Captain Robert Brooke sent a model for laying the foundations of stone, without caissons, accompanied with a description. In consequence of which the trustees ordered an advertisement for contractors to deliver plans, proposals, and estimates, for constructing the piers beyond low water mark.

The 3d January 1752, Mr. James Morehouse presented a plan, proposals, and estimates; which however were not approved; but it was resolved, that a foundation, not exceeding 200 feet, should be carried on with large blocks of stone, agreeably to two plans given in by Mr. Preston the mason.

24th January; it was resolved that the said 200 feet of stone pier should have its foundation laid in a channel or trench, dug into the chalk ten or twelve inches deep; and a motion was made, and agreed to, that a surveyor should be appointed; and that a proper advertisement

advertisement should be considered for the purpose: Friday the 21st was appointed for the election of one, from such persons as should offer, to inspect and direct the careful and expeditious carrying on of the building; and the mason's report was postponed till a surveyor should be chosen; but Boulogne lime and lime kilns were ordered to be set about immediately.

21st January. It was resolved that such surveyor as should be chosen, should have a salary of £200. per annum, and reside at Ramsgate.

On this occasion, seven persons applied; amongst whom were Mr. Etheridge, and Mr. Vincent the Engineer of Scarborough Pier, who both produced ample testimonials; but on holding up of the hands, the majority was declared for Mr. Etheridge, who was strongly recommended by Mr. Ockenden; and who received on this occasion the thanks of the Board for his plan, care, and attention; and which were desired to be continued.

28th February. Instructions were given by the trustees to the surveyor, and were in substance as follows:—

“The surveyor is to reside in Ramsgate, and not be absent without leave first obtained from the trustees at one of their meetings; and to have the inspecting and directing of all the works that have already or shall be hereafter carried on, under the direction of the trustees of Ramsgate Harbour; and also to have the direction of all the persons employed therein, at Ramsgate, except the clerk of the cheque. He is weekly to transmit to the secretary an account of the progress of the work, and of the transactions relative thereto, that they may be laid before the Board at their several meetings. He is truly and faithfully to inspect the several materials which shall be delivered, and report to the Board those which are not according to agreement or contract; and frequently to examine the list of the workmen employed, and see that they perform the labour they are paid for; and as soon as he conveniently can, he is to take an account of the abilities of the several workmen employed, and report to the secretary, whether they are deserving the wages therein charged; but no alteration of wages shall at any time be made by him, without first mentioning his intention to the trustees, and receiving their approbation. The increasing or lessening the number of workmen to be left to his discretion. But he is from time to time to acquaint the Board of his reasons for whatever alterations he shall make among them. Nor is he to make any material alteration of any kind, without previously acquainting the secretary thereof, and taking the directions of the trustees thereon. In general he is to inspect and direct every thing relating to the works, so that they may be carried on in the most expeditious and frugal manner.”



25th March. Mr. Etheridge delivered his first report, which describes the state of the works at Ramsgate on a general view; and having inspected a cargo of backing stone brought from Purbeck, he thought it very good, and capable of making very sufficient work, without building the wall entirely with ashler; but for the benefit of the work, he recommended to send a proper person to Purbeck, at the expense of the trust, to see that the stone be good, properly worked, and the courses shipped as wanted; which was afterwards ordered by the Board.

Here it may be necessary to observe, that Mr. Etheridge appears to have been a person of a truly mechanic genius, and having been brought forward by the celebrated Mr. King, carpenter of the works of Westminster Bridge, as his foreman, and after Mr. King's death become his successor in completing this branch of those works, might be presumed to be a man of much experience in the carpentry line: \* but being here appointed director of the whole work, and looking upon himself as competent to the masonry as to the carpentry branch, there very soon arose a difference of opinion betwixt the surveyor and Mr. Preston, the mason, that afterwards turned out of great detriment to these works. Mr. Preston, though by no means equal to Mr. Etheridge in general mechanical knowledge, yet was an excellent mason, and well informed in the nature of the materials proper to his trade:—the making of mortar being rather of a chemical than a mechanical nature. Mr. Preston, before the appointment of Mr. Etheridge, not being satisfied with the use of shell lime under water, which was in part used at Ramsgate Harbour, recommended to the trustees the trial of various lime stones; viz. Aberthaw, Barrow, and that of Boulogne, of which cargoes had been ordered; but their merits had not then been sufficiently investigated. Mr. Etheridge unfortunately had adopted shell lime for waterworks, and accounted that of Maidstone, and St. Vincent's Rocks at Bristol Hot Wells, as preferable to the above. †

Mr. Etheridge, as reported, being of an austere temper, not readily giving up what he had once advanced, a shyness took place between these two officers; which though it did not prevent either of them from punctually doing his duty, that is, did not prevent Mr. Preston from scrupulously pursuing his orders from Mr. Etheridge; yet it prevented that interchange of sentiments and confidence, which is so essentially necessary among the principal officers of a great work or enterprize, that it may be carried on to the best advantage.

\* Mr. Etheridge afterwards designed and built the famous wooden bridge at Walton-upon-Thames; the middle arch of which spanned 120 feet.

† See the chapter on water cements, Smeaton's account of Edystone lighthouse.

Mr.

Mr. Etheridge now proceeded to put in practice his proposed method of laying the foundation of the piers, in caes or caissons, and shewed that method of digging a trench under water, and levelling it, which, being attended with certainty, and every necessary degree of dispatch, has ever since been the method put in practice here, and so continues to this day.

Every thing appeared to go successfully on during the year 1752; and the committee of the 29th September, reported that 68 feet of stone pier, of the same dimensions as the east pier, had been added to the 550 feet of timber work of the west pier, and 138 feet of foundation carried out five feet high.

The 26th January 1753, produced an order of Board, that Mr. Ockenden, and any other gentlemen of the trust, should be desired to go to Ramsgate to consult with the surveyor there, and give directions for a proper plan to be drawn of the extent and manner in which the work should be carried on and finished; and that the said plan should be laid before the Board for approbation.

14th May 1753, the Board read Mr. Ockenden's report of his survey made at Ramsgate, and considered the plan for carrying out the work, which is dated the 21st April, and signed by him and the surveyor, but came to no resolution thereon; however Mr. Ockenden received the thanks of the Board, for his great care and pains in making his late survey and report.

At a general meeting, 14th December 1753, for considering the plan of the Harbour, a motion was made, that the Harbour be contracted to 1,200 feet in width, according to the plan this day laid before the Board by Mr. Ockenden; which, being debated, was carried on a division of 28 to 15. And on an adjournment to that day se'nnight, the resolution was confirmed; 26 to 7.

Upon this contracted plan, which appears to have originated with Mr. Ockenden, Mr. Etheridge seems not only to have vigorously proceeded, but even to have pushed the execution; for, by the Committee's report on their visitation, the 9th October 1754, after declaring their opinion, that the contraction ordered by the Board would leave the Harbour large enough to contain more ships than would ever have occasion to lie there, at the same time; and "that the curve at the west pier continuing the same as it was in the original plan, would give sufficient room for ships to bring up;" they reported, that at the west pier, the 138 feet of foundation mentioned last year to be laid, was taken up, and a temporary

VOL. III.

N

rary